

LINEAR RELATIONS AMONG POINCARÉ SERIES

ROBERT C. RHOADES

ABSTRACT. The set of Poincaré series $\{P(m, k, N; z)\}_{m \geq 1}$ spans the finite dimensional space of cusp forms $S_k(N)$. In his book on automorphic forms, Iwaniec asks for all the linear relations between these series. Here we solve this problem using the theory of harmonic weak Maass forms and their interplay with classical modular forms. It turns out this problem is related to the question of whether there are weakly holomorphic modular forms with a given “principal part”.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

The classical Poincaré series at ‘ ∞ ’, $P(m, k, N; z)$, are defined by

$$(1.1) \quad P(m, k, N; z) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma_0(N)} (j(\gamma, z))^{-2k} e(m\gamma z),$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ with $k \geq 2$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $4 \mid N$ when $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$. Here $e(z) := e^{2\pi iz}$, $j(\gamma, z)$ is defined in (2.10) and $\Gamma_\infty := \{\pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. When $m = 0$, $P(0, k, N; z)$ is a classical Eisenstein series. For $m \geq 1$, $P(m, k, N; z) \in S_k(N)$, where $S_k(N)$ denotes the space of cusp forms of weight k for $\Gamma_0(N)$.

It is well known that the collection $\{P(m, k, N; z)\}_{m \geq 1}$ spans the space $S_k(N)$. Beyond this, little is known about such Poincaré series. For example, since the space $S_k(N)$ is finite dimensional, there exist many relations among the Poincaré series. Indeed, in his well known text, Iwaniec [8] states the following seemingly simple problem.

Problem. *Find all the linear relations between $P(m, k, N; z)$.*

Remark. Iwaniec states this problem for more general multiplier systems. For simplicity we deal only with these classical multipliers, but the techniques here work with more general multipliers.

When seeking the solution to Iwaniec’s problem it is not clear what the structure of such relations should be. It turns out this problem is related to the question of whether there are weakly holomorphic modular forms with a given principal part. A *weakly holomorphic modular form* is any meromorphic modular form whose poles are supported at the cusps.

One of the most famous examples of such a function is the j -invariant with Fourier expansion

$$(1.2) \quad j(z) = \frac{1}{q} + 744 + 196884q + 21493760q^2 + \cdots,$$

Date: October 12, 2008.

Research of the author was supported by an NSF Graduate Research Fellowship and a National Physical Science Consortium Graduate Research Fellowship sponsored by the NSA.

where $q := e(z)$. It is well known that all weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight 0 for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ are polynomials in j . As a result, any weakly holomorphic modular form of weight 0 for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is determined by the coefficients of the negative powers of q in its Fourier expansion. This remains true for any non-positive weight and group $\Gamma_0(N)$.

More precisely, the *principal part* at infinity of a weakly holomorphic modular form f is the polynomial $P_f \in \mathbb{C}[q^{-1}]$ such that $f(z) - P_f(z) = O(1)$ as $y \rightarrow \infty$. The principal part at other cusps is defined similarly.

Remark. Our definition of principal part is slightly non-standard. It is perhaps more typical to define the principal part with the condition $f(z) - P_f(z) = O(e^{-\epsilon y})$ as $y \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. The difference in definition amounts to whether or not the constant coefficient is included in the principal part.

It is well known that a weakly holomorphic modular form of non-positive weight is uniquely determined by the collection of its principal parts at all cusps.

It is very natural to ask whether a given principal part corresponds to a weakly holomorphic modular form. This question has been studied in the context of Eichler cohomology. See [9] for more on this perspective. The approach taken here is from the perspective of harmonic weak Maass forms. Consequently, we explicitly describe all of the linear relations between the classical cuspidal Poincaré series in terms of obstructions to the existence of a weakly holomorphic form with zero principal part at cusps other than ∞ .

Theorem 1.1. *Let $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ with $k \geq 2$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{I}$ be a finite set of positive integers. Then*

$$\sum_{m \in \mathcal{I}} \alpha_m P(m, k, N; z) \equiv 0$$

if and only if there exists a weakly holomorphic modular form of level N and weight $2 - k$ with principal part at ∞ equal to

$$\sum_{m \in \mathcal{I}} \frac{\alpha_m}{m^{k-1}} q^{-m}$$

and the principal part at all other cusps is equal to 0.

In practice, it is not difficult to implement Theorem 1.1. To illustrate this result, we now completely describe the situation for modular forms of even integer weights for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. This situation is completely describable since we can write down all possible principle parts in a simple way. Let E_s be the usual normalized Eisenstein series of weight $s \in 2\mathbb{N}$ and Δ be the normalized Hecke eigenform of weight 12. Additionally, set $E_0 := 1$. All weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight $2 - k$ for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ are of the form

$$\frac{E_s(z)}{\Delta(z)^r} F(j(z))$$

for some $F(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$, $s \in \{0, 4, 6, 8, 10, 14\}$, and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $s - 12r = 2 - k$. In the special case of $F(j(z)) = 1$ one immediately obtains the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2. *For k a positive even integer set $d_k := \dim(S_k(1))$. Then*

$$\sum_{m=1}^{d_k+1} \tau(d_k + 1, s; -m) m^{k-1} P(m, k, 1; z) \equiv 0,$$

where $s = 14 - k + 12d_k$ and $\tau(r, s; n)$ is defined by

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tau(r, s; n) q^n := \frac{E_s}{\Delta^r}.$$

Example. Here we illustrate Corollary 1.2. The space $S_{24}(1)$ is a two dimensional space, and so there must be a linear relation between the Poincaré series $P(1, 24, 1; z)$, $P(2, 24, 1; z)$ and $P(3, 24, 1; z)$. Using exact formulas for the Fourier coefficients of these series (see Section 2), we find that

$$P(1, 24, 1; z) \sim 1.00100852 \cdot q + 132.988977 \cdot q^2 + 189296.261 \cdot q^3 + \dots$$

$$P(2, 24, 1; z) \sim 0.00001585 \cdot q + 2.45743136 \cdot q^2 + 114.854805 \cdot q^3 + \dots$$

$$P(3, 24, 1; z) \sim 0.00000201 \cdot q + 0.01023411 \cdot q^2 + 0.88465633 \cdot q^3 + \dots$$

From these numerics, we find that

$$-0.000000207832 \cdot P(1, 24, 1; z) + 0.00427703 \cdot P(2, 24, 1; z) + P(3, 24, 1; z) \sim 0.$$

It is difficult to make this approximation precise by using explicit Fourier expansions. However, by Corollary 1.2 we find that this relation is dictated by the modular form E_{14}/Δ^3 whose q -expansion begins with

$$\frac{1}{q^3} + \frac{48}{q^2} - \frac{195660}{q} + \dots$$

Therefore, we then find that the two coefficients in the linear combination above are exactly $\frac{\tau(-3, 14; -1)}{3^{23}} = \frac{-195660}{3^{23}} \approx -0.00000207832\dots$ and $\frac{\tau(-3, 14; -2) \cdot 2^{23}}{3^{23}} = \frac{48 \cdot 2^{23}}{3^{23}} \approx 0.00427703\dots$

Remarks and Other Consequences.

i) We state Theorem 1.1 in terms of relations between the Poincaré series at ‘ ∞ ’. To handle more general combinations one needs to take a linear combination of weakly holomorphic modular forms with principal part specified at each cusp.

ii) Using Theorem 1.1 together with the valence formula one may deduce that $P(m, k, N; z) \neq 0$ for $1 \leq m \leq \frac{k-2}{12}[\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_0(N)]$.

iii) From the proof of this theorem one may easily deduce exact formulas for the Fourier coefficients of non-positive weight modular forms with principal part equal to zero at all cusps other than ∞ . Such results were obtained earlier, in varying levels of generality, by Rademacher, Zuckerman, and others (see [11, 13]).

iv) By Theorem 1.1 and the paragraph preceding Corollary 1.2 it is clear that $P(m, k, 1; z) \equiv 0$ if and only if there exists a polynomial $F \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ with degree $m - d_k - 1$ such that $\frac{E_s}{\Delta^{d_k+1}} F(j)$ has principal part equal to q^{-m} . Since the polynomial has $m - d_k$ degrees of freedom to match the m coefficients of the principal part we are naturally lead to the conjecture that $P(m, k, 1; z)$ should be vanishing only when $d_k = 0$.

These results come as a natural consequence of the theory of harmonic weak Maass forms and the interplay between harmonic weak Maass forms and classical modular forms. This theory has been shown to have striking applications to many areas of mathematics, including partitions [3], mock theta functions [1, 2, 4], vanishing of Hecke eigenvalues [6], to name a few. For more about such applications and for the history of this program see [10]. Here

it turns out that there are nice duality theorems between nonholomorphic Maass-Poincaré series and holomorphic Poincaré series which imply the results above.

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we will recall essential facts about harmonic weak Maass forms. This includes a discussion of Petersson inner products, a certain differential operator, and explicit Fourier expansions of two families of Poincaré series. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.1.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

to add.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1. Harmonic weak Maass forms. Here we give facts about harmonic weak Maass forms. Throughout, let $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{H} = \{z : \text{Im}(z) > 0\}$, with $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Also, throughout suppose that $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. We define the weight k hyperbolic Laplacian by

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta_k := -y^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) + iky \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right).$$

For odd integers d , define ε_d by

$$(2.2) \quad \varepsilon_d := \begin{cases} 1 & d \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ i & d \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}.$$

Definition 2.1. A *harmonic weak Maass form* of weight k on

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_0(N) \subseteq \begin{cases} \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) & k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \Gamma_0(4) & k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$$

of Nebentypus χ is any smooth function $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying:

(i)

$$f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = \begin{cases} (cz+d)^k f(z) & k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \left(\frac{c}{d}\right)^{2k} \varepsilon_d^{-2k} (cz+d)^k f(z) & k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$$

for all $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$;

(ii) $\Delta_k f = 0$;

(iii) There is a polynomial $G_f(z) = \sum_{n \leq 0} c_f^+(n) q^n \in \mathbb{C}[q^{-1}]$ such that $f(z) - G_f(z) = O(e^{-\epsilon y})$ as $y \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Analogous conditions are required at all cusps.

Remark. We refer to G_f as the principal part of the harmonic weak Maass form f .

The differential operator

$$\xi_w := 2iy^w \cdot \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}},$$

plays a central role in the study of such forms. See [5] for example. It is a fact that

$$(2.3) \quad \xi_{2-k} : H_{2-k}(\Gamma_0(N)) \longrightarrow S_k(\Gamma_0(N)).$$

Here $H_w(N)$ denotes the space of weight w harmonic weak Maass forms on $\Gamma_0(N)$. It is not difficult to make this more precise using Fourier expansions. In particular, every weight $2 - k$ harmonic weak Maass form $f(z)$ has a Fourier expansion of the form

$$(2.4) \quad f(\tau) = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} c_f^+(n) q^n + \sum_{n < 0} c_f^-(n) \Gamma(k-1, 4\pi|n|y) q^n,$$

where $\Gamma(a, x)$ is the incomplete Gamma-function and $q := e^{2\pi iz}$. A straightforward calculation, see [3], shows that $\xi_{2-k}(f)$ has the Fourier expansion

$$(2.5) \quad \xi_{2-k}(f) = -(4\pi)^{k-1} \sum_{n \geq 1} \overline{c_f^-(n)} n^{k-1} q^n.$$

From this it is easy to see that $\ker(\xi_{2-k}) = M_{2-k}^!(N)$.

As (2.4) suggests, $f(z)$ naturally decomposes into two summands

$$(2.6) \quad f^+(z) := \sum_{n \gg -\infty} c_f^+(n) q^n,$$

$$(2.7) \quad f^-(z) := \sum_{n < 0} c_f^-(n) \Gamma(k-1, 4\pi|n|y) q^n.$$

We call f^+ the “holomorphic part” and f^- the “non-holomorphic part” of the harmonic weak Maass form f .

Finally, we define a pairing between the spaces $M_k(N)$, the space of holomorphic modular forms of weight k for $\Gamma_0(N)$, and $H_{2-k}(N)$. For $g \in M_k(N)$ and $f \in H_{2-k}(N)$ we define

$$(2.8) \quad \{g, f\} := (g, \xi_{2-k}(f))_k = \int_{\Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathbb{H}} g(z) \cdot \overline{\xi_{2-k}(f)(z)} y^k \frac{dx dy}{y^2}.$$

By Proposition 3.5 of [5] the pairing of g and f can be explicitly evaluated in terms of the Fourier coefficients of g and the principal part of f . Additionally, Theorem 1.1 of [5] gives that the pairing between $S_k(N)$ and $H_{2-k}(N)/M_{2-k}^!(N)$ is non-degenerate, where $M_{2-k}^!(N) \subset H_{2-k}(N)$ is the space of weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight $2 - k$ for $\Gamma_0(N)$. The following is a straightforward consequence of Proposition 3.5 of [5].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and $k \geq 2$ and $f \in H_{2-k}(N)$. If f has the property that its principal part at each cusp is trivial, then $\xi_{2-k}(f) \equiv 0$.*

2.2. Maass-Poincaré Series. In this section we will describe two families of Poincaré series.

As usual, for $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and functions $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we let

$$(2.9) \quad (f|_k A)(z) := j(A, z)^{-2k} f(Az),$$

where

$$(2.10) \quad j(A, z) := \begin{cases} \sqrt{cz + d} & k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \left(\frac{c}{d}\right) \varepsilon_d^{-1} \sqrt{cz + d} & k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}.$$

Let m be an integer, and let $\varphi_m : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function which satisfies $\varphi_m(y) = O(y^\alpha)$, as $y \rightarrow 0$, for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. With $e(\alpha) := e^{2\pi i \alpha}$, let

$$(2.11) \quad \varphi_m^*(z) := \varphi_m(y) e(mx).$$

Such functions are fixed by the translations $\Gamma_\infty := \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$.

Given this data, for integers $N \geq 1$, we define the generic Poincaré series

$$(2.12) \quad \mathbb{P}(m, k, \varphi_m, N; z) := \sum_{A \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma_0(N)} (\varphi_m^* |_k A)(z).$$

In this notation the classical family is given by

$$P(m, k, N; z) = \mathbb{P}(m, k, e(imy), N; z).$$

We define a second family of Poincaré series, the Maass-Poincaré series of Hejhal (see [7]). Let $M_{\nu, \mu}(z)$ be the usual M -Whittaker function. For complex s , let

$$(2.13) \quad \mathcal{M}_s(y) := |y|^{-\frac{k}{2}} M_{\frac{k}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(y), s - \frac{1}{2}}(|y|),$$

and for $m \geq 1$ let $\varphi_{-m}(z) := \mathcal{M}_{1 - \frac{k}{2}}(-4\pi my)$. We let

$$(2.14) \quad Q(-m, k, N; z) := \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \cdot \mathbb{P}(-m, 2-k, \varphi_{-m}, N; z).$$

The Fourier expansions of these series are given in terms of the I -Bessel and J -Bessel functions, and the Kloosterman sums

$$(2.15) \quad K_k(m, n, c) := \begin{cases} \sum_{v(c) \times} e\left(\frac{m\bar{v} + nv}{c}\right) & k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \sum_{v(c) \times} \left(\frac{c}{v}\right)^{2k} \varepsilon_v^{2k} e\left(\frac{m\bar{v} + nv}{c}\right) & k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}.$$

Here v runs through the primitive residue classes modulo c , and $v\bar{v} \equiv 1 \pmod{c}$. We have the following proposition (for example, see [3, 7]).

Proposition 2.3. *If $k \geq 2$ with $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and $m, N \geq 1$, then $Q(-m, k, N; z) \in H_{2-k}(N)$, and has a Fourier expansion of the form*

$$Q(-m, k, N; z) = Q^+(-m, k, N; z) + Q^-(-m, k, N; z),$$

where

$$Q^-(-m, k, N; z) = -\frac{\Gamma(k-1, 4\pi my)}{(k-2)!} q^{-m} + \sum_{n < 0} b(-m, k, N; n) \cdot \Gamma(k-1, 4\pi|n|y) q^n,$$

and where for negative integers n we have

$$b(-m, k, N; n) = -\frac{2\pi i^k}{(k-2)!} \cdot \left| \frac{m}{n} \right|^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \sum_{\substack{c > 0 \\ c \equiv 0 \pmod{N}}} \frac{K_{2-k}(-m, n, c)}{c} \cdot J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{|mn|}}{c} \right),$$

and

$$Q^+(-m, k, N; z) = q^{-m} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b(-m, k, N; n) q^n,$$

where

$$b(-m, k, N; 0) = -\frac{(2\pi i)^k m^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \cdot \sum_{\substack{c > 0 \\ c \equiv 0 \pmod{N}}} \frac{K_{2-k}(-m, 0, c)}{c^k},$$

and where for positive integers n we have

$$b(-m, k, N; n) = -2\pi i^k \cdot \sum_{\substack{c > 0 \\ c \equiv 0 \pmod{N}}} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \frac{K_{2-k}(-m, n, c)}{c} \cdot I_{k-1} \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{|mn|}}{c} \right).$$

Additionally, the principal part at all other cusps is constant.

More common is the Fourier expansion for the classical Poincaré series. It is given in the following proposition. See [8] for a proof.

Proposition 2.4. *If $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, $k \geq 2$, and $m, N \geq 1$ with $4 \mid N$ when $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$, then $P(m, k, N; z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a(m, k, N; n) q^n$, where*

$$a(m, k, N; n) = 2\pi i^k \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \cdot \sum_{\substack{c > 0 \\ c \equiv 0 \pmod{N}}} \frac{K_k(m, n, c)}{c} \cdot J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{mn}}{c} \right).$$

Using Propositions 2.3 and 2.4 with (2.5) and the identity

$$\overline{K_{2-k}(-m, -n, c)} = K_k(m, n, c)$$

we see that

$$(2.16) \quad \xi_{2-k}(Q(-m, k, N; z)) = \frac{(4\pi m)^{k-1}}{(k-2)!} P(m, k, N; z).$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section we use the interplay between the non-holomorphic part and holomorphic part of a harmonic weak Maass form to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume that $\sum_{m \in \mathcal{I}} \alpha_m P(m, k, N; z) \equiv 0$. Write

$$f = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{I}} \frac{\alpha_m}{m^{k-1}} Q(-m, k, N; z) = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} c_f^+(n) q^n + \sum_{n < 0} c_f^-(n) \Gamma(k-1, 4\pi |n| y) q^n.$$

We have

$$\xi_{2-k}(f) = \frac{(4\pi)^{k-1}}{(k-2)!} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{I}} \alpha_m P(m, k, N; z) = -(4\pi)^{k-1} \sum_{n \geq 1} \overline{c_f^-(n)} n^{k-1} q^n.$$

By our assumption on the sum over the Poincaré series we know that $c_f^-(n) = 0$ for all $n < 0$. But then $f \in M_{2-k}^!(N)$. So we see that

$$\sum_{m \in \mathcal{I}} \frac{\alpha_m}{m^{k-1}} Q^+(-m, k, N; z) \in M_{2-k}^!(N)$$

is the weakly holomorphic form that we desire.

Conversely, assume that such a weakly holomorphic modular form exists. Call it f . From the expansion for the coefficients of $Q^+(-m, k, N; z)$ in Proposition 2.3 we may conclude that $\tilde{f} := -f + \sum_{m \in \mathcal{I}} \frac{\alpha_m}{m^{k-1}} Q(-m, k, N; z) \in H_{2-k}(N)$ and has principal part at each cusp equal to 0. By Lemma 2.2, $0 = \xi_{2-k}(\tilde{f}) = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{I}} \alpha_m P(m, k, N; z)$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Bringmann and K. Ono, *The $f(q)$ mock theta function conjecture and partition ranks*, Invent. Math. **165** (2006), pages 243–266.
- [2] K. Bringmann and K. Ono, *Dyson’s ranks and Maass forms*, Ann. of Math., in press.
- [3] K. Bringmann and K. Ono, *Lifting cusp forms to Maass forms with an application to partitions*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA **104**, No. 10 (2007), pages 3725–3731.
- [4] K. Bringmann, K. Ono, and R. C. Rhoades, *Eulerian series as modular forms*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., in press.
- [5] J.H. Bruinier and J. Funke, *On two geometric theta lifts*, Duke Math. J. **125** (2004), pages 45–90.
- [6] J.H. Bruinier, K. Ono, and R.C. Rhoades, *Differential Operators and Harmonic Weak Maass Forms*, Mathematische Annalen, recommended for publication.
- [7] D. A. Hejhal, *The Selberg trace formula for $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$* , Lect. Notes. in Math. **1001**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [8] H. Iwaniec, *Topics in the classical theory of automorphic forms*, Grad. Studies in Math. **17**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1997.
- [9] M. Knopp, *Rademacher on $J(\tau)$, Poincaré Series of Nonpositive Weights and the Eichler Cohomology*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **37** (1990), no. 4, 385–393.
- [10] K. Ono, *Unearthing the visions of a master: harmonic Maass forms and number theory*, Harvard-MIT Current Developments in Mathematics 2008, International Press, in preparation.
- [11] H. Rademacher and H. Zuckerman, *On the Fourier coefficients of certain modular forms of positive dimension*, Ann. of Math. (2), **39**, 1939, no. 2, 433–462.
- [12] P. Sarnak, *Some applications of modular forms*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 99. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [13] H. Zuckerman, *On the coefficients of certain modular forms belonging to subgroups of the modular group*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **45** (1939), no. 2, 298–321

MATHÉMATIQUES, ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE, 1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND
E-mail address: robert.rhoades@epfl.ch